

Generalized *-derivations in Prime*-rings

¹Dr.D.Bharathi and ²P.V Rama Krishna

Abstract— In this paper it is proved that a prime*-ring R admits a generalized reverse*-derivation F associated with non-zero*-derivation d, then either $[d(x),z] = 0$ or F is reverse*-centralizer. Next it is aimed to prove that a Prime*-Ring R admits a generalized*-left derivation F with associated *-left derivation d then either R is commutative or F is Right *-multiplier.

Index Terms— prime*-ring, Jordan *-derivation, Generalized*-derivation, Generalized reverse*-derivation.

1 INTRODUCTION

The study of Derivations in rings through initiated long back, but got impetus only after Posner. E.C [1] who in 1957 established two very striking results on derivations in prime rings. The study of *-rings using generalized derivations has become an innovative research topic during the last few decades leading to many excellent results and questions.

Shakir Ali [2] have defined the notions of generalized*-derivations & generalized reverse*-derivations and proved some theorems involving these mappings. Here it is presented some results on prime*-rings admits generalized*-derivations. An additive mapping $x \rightarrow x^*$ on a ring R is called an involution if it satisfies following axioms 1) $(x+y)^* = y^* + x^*$ 2) $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ 3) $(x^*)^* = x \quad \forall x, y \in R$. A prime*-ring is defined as $xa^*y = 0$ implies either $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. An additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a reverse *-derivation if $d(xy) = d(y)x^* + yd(x)$ holds $\forall x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized reverse *-derivation if $F(xy) = F(y)x^* + yd(x)$ holds $\forall x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized *-left derivation if $F(xy) = y^*F(x) + xd(y)$ holds $\forall x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized Jordan *-derivation associated with if $F(x^2) = F(x)x^* + xd(x)$ for all $x \in R$.

2 THEOREMS AND PROOFS

Theorem 1: Let R be a prime *-ring. If R admits a generalized reverse *-derivation F with an associated non-zero reverse *-derivation then either $[d(x),z] = 0$ or F is reverse *-centralizer.

Proof: We are given that F is a generalized reverse *-derivation with an associated non-zero reverse *-derivation d,

$$\text{we have } F(xy) = F(y)x^* + yd(x). \tag{1}$$

Replace x by xz in equation (1)

$$F(xzy) = F(y)z^*x^* + y(d(z)x^* + zd(x)).$$

On the other hand

$$F(xzy) = F(x(zy)) = F(z)y^*x^* + zy d(x) = F(y)z^*x^* + yd(z)x^* + zy d(x) \tag{2}$$

¹Associate professor, Department of mathematics, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati-517502, Andhra Pradesh, India. Bharathikavali@yahoo.co.in

²Research Scholar, Department of mathematics, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati-517502, Andhra Pradesh, India. Bharathikavali@yahoo.co.in

Subtracting (2) from (1) we get

$$[y,z]d(x) = 0. \tag{3}$$

Replace y by d(x)z we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(x)z,z]d(x) &= 0. \\ &= d(x)[z,z]d(x) + [d(x),z]zd(x) = 0. \\ &= [d(x),z]zd(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since R is prime we get either $[d(x),z] = 0$ or $d(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in R$.

Case 1: if $d=0$ then F is left *-reverse centralizer or $[d(x),z] = 0 \quad \forall x, z \in R$

Theorem 2: Let R be a prime*-ring. If R admits a generalized *-left derivation associated with *-left derivation then either R is commutative or F is right *-multiplier.

Proof: By the definition of generalized*-left derivation $F(xy) = y^*F(x) + xd(y) \quad \forall x, y \in R$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Replace y by yz then } F(xyz) &= F(x(yz)) = (yz)^*F(x) + xd(yz). \\ &= z^*y^*F(x) + x(z^*d(y) + yd(z)). \\ &= z^*y^*F(x) + xz^*d(y) + xy d(z) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

(4)

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} F(xyz) &= F(xy(z)) = z^*F(xy) + xy d(z) \\ &= z^*y^*F(x) + z^*x d(y) + xy d(z) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

(5)

Subtracting (5) from (4) we get

$$xz^*d(y) - z^*x d(y) = 0 \Rightarrow [x,z^*]d(y) = 0.$$

$$\text{Replace } z^* \rightarrow z \text{ we get } [x,z]d(y) = 0. \tag{6}$$

Now again replace x by xz in (6) we get

$$[xz,z]d(y) = 0 \Rightarrow x[z,z] + [x,z]zd(y) = 0.$$

$$[x,z]zd(y) = 0.$$

Since R is prime either $[x,z] = 0$ or $d(y) = 0$.

We conclude that either R is commutative (or) F is right *-multiplier.

Lemma 1. Let R be a 2-torsion free non-commutative prime *-ring and Let $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized Jordan *-derivation which satisfies $f(h)h + hd(h) \in Z(R)$ then $[f(hg+gh),y] = [f(h)g + hd(g),y] + [f(g)h + gd(h),y]$.

Proof. For any $r \in R$

$$F(h^2) = F(h)h + hd(h) \in Z(R) \tag{1.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F((h+g)^2) &= F(h+g)(h+g) + (h+g)d(h+g) \\
 &= F(h)+F(g)(h+g) + (h+g)(d(h)+d(g)) \\
 &= F(h)h + F(h)g + F(g)h + F(g)g + h \quad \forall h \in H(R) \\
 d(h)+hd(g)+gd(h)+gd(g) &\forall h \in H(R)
 \end{aligned}$$

(1.2)

Multiplying (1.2) by y on left side we get
 $y F((h+g)^2) = y(F(h)h + h d(h)) + y(F(h)g + hd(g)) + y(F(g)h + gd(h)) +$
 (1.3)

$y(F(g)g + gd(g)) \in Z(R) \quad \forall h \in H(R)$
 Multiplying (1.2) by y on right side we get
 $F((h+g)^2)y = (F(h)h + h d(h))y + (F(h)g + hd(g))y + (F(g)h + gd(h))y + (F(g)g + gd(g))y \quad \forall h \in H(R)$ (1.4)

Comparing (1.3) and (1.4) we get
 $[F((h+g)^2), y] = [F(h^2), y] + [F(g^2), y] + [F(h)g + hd(g), y] + [F(g)h + g d(h), y]$
 Using (1.1) we get
 $[F(hg+gh), y] = [F(h)g + hd(g), y] + [F(g)h + g d(h), y]$

Lemma 2. Let R be a 2-torsion free non-commutative prime*-ring and $d:R \rightarrow R$ be Jordan *-derivation which satisfies $d(h)h+hd(h) \in Z(R)$ then $[d(hg+gh), y] = [d(h)g+d(g)h+hd(g)+gd(h), y]$

Proof. $d(h^2) = d(h)h+hd(h) \in Z(R) \quad \forall h \in H(R)$
 (2.1)

Replace h by h+g in (2.1)
 $d((h+g)^2) = d(h+g)(h+g) + (h+g)d(h+g)$
 $= (d(h)+d(g))(h+g) + (h+g)(d(h)+d(g))$
 $= d(h)h + d(h)g + d(g)h + d(g)g + h$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= d(h^2) + d(g^2) + d(h)g + d(g)h + hd(g) + gd(h) \in Z(R) \\
 \Rightarrow [d(h^2) + d(g^2) + d(h)g + d(g)h + hd(g) + gd(h), y] &= 0 \\
 &= [d(h^2) + d(g^2), y] + [d(h)g + d(g)h, y] + [hd(g) + gd(h), y] = 0 \\
 [d(h^2 + g^2 + hg + gh), y] &= [d(h)g + d(g)h + hd(g) + gd(h), y] \text{ (by 2.1)} \\
 [d(hg + gh), y] &= [d(h)g + d(g)h + hd(g) + gd(h), y]
 \end{aligned}$$

3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Dr.D.Bharathi for her support,encouragement,help throughout this work.

4 REFERENCES

[1] E. C .Posner, "Derivations in prime rings." *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 8.6 1093-1100. (1957).
 [2] A.Shakir, " On generalized *-derivations in *-rings", *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*.vol1,32-37,2012.
 [3] M.Bresar,J.Vukman, "On left derivartions and relative mapping" ."*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Socie ty* .10,7-16,1990.

5 CONCLUSION

Hence a prime*-ring R admits a generalized reverse*-derivation F with associated non-zero reverse*-derivation d then either F is reverse*-centralizer or commutator of d(x) and z equal to zero.If F is generalize *-left derivation then either F is Right*-multiplier or R is commutative.